

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/769,690	01/22/2004	Leonid Kazakevich	I-2-0284.2US	8668
24374 7590 11/05/2007 VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C.		EXAMINER		
DEPT. ICC			CHAN, RICHARD	
UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPH		2618	2618	
				·····
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	11/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/769,690	KAZAKEVICH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Richard Chan	2618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the strong and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the strong and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the strong and the strong and the strong are strong as the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Au</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-22 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 8 and 23 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 January 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	ry (PTO-413) Date Patent Application	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chulajata (US 6,434,375).

Regarding claim 1, Chulajata discloses the apparatus Fig.1 for processing a communication received by at least two (2) antenna assemblies 101a and 101M, said communication being comprised of sequentially transmitted slots of equal length (Col.5 line 19-26), said apparatus comprising: a channel estimator (Col.6 line 8-17); first and second units coupled to the channel estimator for determining signal quality based on at least one of history, recent channel estimation and optimization.

Regarding claim 2, Chulajata discloses the apparatus of claim 1 wherein signal quality outputs of said first and second units are combined in a combining means 127.

Regarding claim 3, Chulajata discloses the apparatus of claim 2 wherein the output of said combining means 129 provides a signal quality output 131. (Col.9 line 41-46)

Regarding claim 9, Chulajata discloses the apparatus of claim 1 comprising: means for selectively coupling the communications received by each antenna assembly 101a and 101Mto said channel estimator. (Col.6 line 8-17)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 4-7 and 10-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chulajata (US 6,434,375) in view of Linder (US 4,977,616).

Regarding claim 4, Chulajata discloses the apparatus of claim 1 however Chulajata does not further disclose the apparatus comprising: switching means responsive to the signal quality for selectively coupling slots from said antenna assemblies to a common input of said channel estimator wherein the slots from each antenna assembly are coupled to said common input in a uniform sequence responsive to a first quality output.

The Linder reference however discloses an antenna selection circuit which determines the selection of the antenna based on antenna signal quality such as RSSI level of a particular antenna channel during the monitored time slots, based on the detected level monitored by the

system, the switch control is than able to compare with other antenna performances to allow a selection to be made. (Abstract)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement an antenna switch as disclosed by Linder with the apparatus for processing a communication control by at least two antenna assembles as disclosed by Chulajata in order to select the best signal path through particular antenna channel for the system.

Regarding claim 5, Chulajata and Linder combined disclose the apparatus of claim 4,

Linder continues to disclose wherein said switching means couples outputs of the antenna

assemblies to said common unit in a non-uniform sequence responsive to a second quality output

different from said first quality output. (Col.3 line 42-55)

Regarding claim 6, Chulajata and Linder combined disclose the apparatus of claim 4 wherein there are two antenna assemblies and switching in said uniform sequence comprises: switching the slots from said two antenna assemblies in an alternating fashion. (Col.2 line 57-63)

Regarding claim 7, Chulajata and Linder combined disclose the apparatus of claim 4 wherein there are two antenna assemblies and switching in said uniform sequence comprises: switching pairs of slots from said two antenna assemblies in an alternating fashion. (Col.2 line 57-63)

Regarding claim 10, Chulajata discloses the apparatus for processing a communication received by at least two antenna assemblies 101a and 101M said communication being comprised of sequentially transmitted slots of equal length (Col.5 line 19-26), said apparatus comprising: a channel estimator (Col.6 line 8-17);

However Chulajata does not specifically disclose the switch means for selectively coupling signals from said antenna assemblies to an input of said channel estimator; and said channel estimator providing a signal quality output.

The Linder reference however discloses an antenna selection circuit which determines the selection of the antenna based on antenna signal quality such as RSSI level of a particular antenna channel during the monitored time slots, based on the detected level monitored by the system, the switch control is than able to compare with other antenna performances to allow a selection to be made. (Abstract)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement an antenna switch as disclosed by Linder with the apparatus for processing a communication control by at least two antenna assembles as disclosed by Chulajata in order to select the best signal path through particular antenna channel for the system.

Regarding claim 11, Chulajata and Linder combined disclose the apparatus of claim 10, Linder continues to disclose wherein said switch means alters a switching pattern responsive to a signal quality coupled thereto. (Col.9 line 41-46)

Application/Control Number: 10/769,690

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 12, Chulajata and Linder combined disclose the apparatus of claim 11, however Linder continues to disclose wherein two (2) antenna assemblies 101a and 101M are provided and said switch means couples slots from said two antenna assemblies in an alternating fashion responsive to a first signal quality. (Col.2 line 14-26n)

Regarding claim 13, Chulajata and Linder combined disclose the apparatus of claim 11, however Linder continues to disclose wherein two (2) antenna assemblies are provided and said switch means couples slots from said two antenna assemblies 101a and 101M in a non-alternating fashion responsive to a first signal quality. (Col.2 line 14-26n)

Regarding claim 14, Chulajata discloses the apparatus for processing a communication received by at least two (2) antenna assemblies 101a and 101M, said communication being comprised of sequentially transmitted slots of equal length, (Col.5 line 19-26), said apparatus comprising: a channel estimator (Col.6 line 8-17);

However the Chulajata reference does not specifically disclose the means for selectively coupling slots from said antenna assemblies to a common input of said channel estimator in a given pattern; first and second units coupled to said channel estimator for determining signal quality based on at least one of history, recent channel estimation and optimization.

The Linder reference however discloses an antenna selection circuit which determines the selection of the antenna based on antenna signal quality such as RSSI level of a particular antenna channel during the monitored time slots, based on the detected level monitored by the

Application/Control Number: 10/769,690

Art Unit: 2618

system, the switch control is than able to compare with other antenna performances to allow a selection to be made. (Abstract)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement an antenna switch as disclosed by Linder with the apparatus for processing a communication control by at least two antenna assembles as disclosed by Chulajata in order to select the best signal path through particular antenna channel for the system.

Regarding claim 15, Chulajata and Linder combined disclose the apparatus of claim 14, Linder continues to disclose wherein said switching means alters said given pattern responsive to a signal quality value. (Col.9 line 41-46)

Regarding claim 16, Chulajata discloses the method for selectively coupling a communication received by at least two (2) antenna assemblies 101a and 101M to a channel estimator (Col.6 line 8-17); said communication being comprised of sequentially transmitted slots of equal length, (Col.5 line 19-26), comprising: said channel estimator (Col.6 line 8-17);

However Chulajata does not specifically disclose estimating channel response; and a switch: controlling the switching of the communication of said two (2) antenna assemblies responsive to said channel response.

The Linder reference however discloses an antenna selection circuit which determines the selection of the antenna based on antenna signal quality such as RSSI level of a particular antenna channel during the monitored time slots, based on the detected level monitored by the

Application/Control Number: 10/769,690

Art Unit: 2618

system, the switch control is than able to compare with other antenna performances to allow a selection to be made. (Abstract)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement an antenna switch as disclosed by Linder with the apparatus for processing a communication control by at least two antenna assembles as disclosed by Chuljataa in order to select the best signal path through particular antenna channel for the system.

Regarding claim 17, Chulajata and Linder combined disclose the method of claim 16, Linder continues to disclose wherein first and second combining means 129 generate first and second quality outputs responsive to said channel response and at least one of history, recent channel estimation and optimization; and combine said quality outputs. (Col.9 line 41-54)

Regarding claim 18, Chulajata and Linder combined disclose the method of claim 17 wherein the combined output provides a signal quality output. (Col.9 line 41-54)

Regarding claim 19, Chulajata and Linder combined disclose the method of claim 16, Linder continues to disclose the method further comprising: said switch: selectively coupling slots from said antenna assemblies to said channel estimator in a uniform sequence responsive to a first quality output. (Col.2 line 57-63)

Regarding claim 20, Chulajata and Linder combined disclose the method of claim 19

Linder continues to disclose wherein said switch: selectively couples outputs of the two antenna

assemblies in a non-uniform sequence responsive to a second quality output different from said first quality output. (Col.9 line 41-46)

Regarding claim 21, Chulajata and Linder combined disclose the method of claim 19, Chulajata discloses wherein there are two (2) antenna assemblies 101a and 101M and, and Linder discloses the switching in said uniform sequence comprises: switching the slots from said two (2) antenna assemblies in an alternating fashion. (Abstract)

Regarding claim 22, Chulajata discloses the method of claim 19 wherein there are two (2) antenna assemblies and switching in said uniform sequence comprises: switching pairs of slots from said two (2) antenna assemblies in an alternating fashion. (Col.2 line 14-26n)

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 8 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claim 8, search of the prior art does not specifically disclose the apparatus of claim 5 wherein there are two (2) antenna assemblies and switching in said non-uniform sequence comprises: forwarding at least two consecutive slots of one of said two antenna

assemblies to said common input before forwarding a single slot from the other of said two antenna assemblies.

Regarding claim 23, Chulajata and Linder combined discloses the method of claim 16 wherein there are two (2) antenna assemblies 101a and 101M, however Linder continues to disclose switching in said non-uniform sequence comprises: forwarding at least two consecutive slots of one of said two (2) antenna assemblies to said common input before forwarding a single slot from the other of said two (2) antenna assemblies.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Chan whose telephone number is (571) 272-0570. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri (9AM - 5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on (571)272-7882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Richard Chan Art Division 2618 10/14/07

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER